MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

Respondent Name

American Specialty Pharmacy

Texas Mutual Insurance Company

MFDR Tracking Number

Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-16-3495-01

Box Number 54

MFDR Date Received

July 21, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the requestor. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

Amount in Dispute: \$154.40

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: "Texas Mutual claim ... is a participant in the Texas Star Network ... Because this is network healthcare Rule 133.307 does not apply."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 22, 2015	Prescription Drug – Etodolac	\$154.40	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.540 defines the requirements of the pharmacy formulary for claims subject to certified networks.
- 3. Texas Labor Code §408.021 establishes entitlement to medical benefits.
- 4. Texas Insurance Code §1305.101 defines the duties of networks to provide medical treatment.
- 5. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - CAC-165 Referral absent or exceeded.
 - 855 Medications not prescribed by or at the direction of the treating doctor as required by DWC rule.

- CAC-193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.
- 891 No additional payment after reconsideration.

Issues

- 1. Is this dispute eligible for medical fee dispute?
- 2. Are Texas Mutual Insurance Company's denials of payment supported?

Findings

1. American Specialty Pharmacy filed this medical fee dispute to the Division asking for resolution pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. In its position statement, Texas Mutual Insurance Company (Texas Mutual) argued that "Because this is network healthcare, Rule 133.307 does not apply."

The authority of the Division of Workers' Compensation is to apply Texas Labor Code statutes and rules, including 28 TAC §133.307, is limited to the conditions outlined in the applicable portions of the Texas Insurance Code, Chapter 1305. Texas Insurance Code §1305.101(c) states,

Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, prescription medication or services, as defined by Section 401.011(19)(E), Labor Code, may not, directly or through a contract, be delivered through a workers' compensation health care network. Prescription medication and services shall be reimbursed as provided by Section 408.0281, Labor Code, other provisions of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, and applicable rules of the commissioner of workers' compensation [emphasis added].

Information found on the FDA website indicates that Etodolac is regulated as a drug. Because prescription drugs may not be delivered through a workers' compensation health care network, the fee dispute for Etodolac is subject to dispute resolution in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307.

2. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason codes CAC-165 – "REFERRAL ABSENT OR EXCEEDED," and 855 – "MEDICATIONS NOT PRESCRIBED BY OR AT THE DIRECTION OF THE TREATING DOCTOR AS REQUIRED BY DWC RULE." Texas Labor Code §408.021(c) requires that "Except in an emergency, all health care must be approved or recommended by the employee's treating doctor."

Review of the submitted information does not support that the disputed service was provided by or recommended by the employee's treating doctor. The insurance carrier's denial reason is supported. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	April 26, 2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.